# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN RE SANDRIDGE ENERGY, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION

No. CIV-12-1341-G Relating to All Securities Actions

## **DEFENDANT SANDRIDGE ENERGY, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS**

Defendant SandRidge Energy, Inc., ("SandRidge") by and through its counsel of record, respectfully requests the Court dismiss all claims against it, as the sole condition on which the Court permitted Plaintiffs to maintain their claims—the existence of insurance proceeds from which they could recover—no longer exists. As shown by the evidence submitted with this motion, all insurance proceeds were depleted as of October 25, 2020. Accordingly, without liability insurance there is no longer any reason or basis to maintain SandRidge as defendant in this matter and the Court should dismiss it entirely from this lawsuit.

#### STATEMENTS OF FACT

- 1. SandRidge has been a named party defendant in this action since its initial filing on December 5, 2012. See Complaint, Doc. No. 1, at 1.
- 2. On May 16, 2016, SandRidge filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas. See Notice of Suggestion of Pendency of Bankruptcy, Doc. No. 212, at 1.

- 3. The Court subsequently stayed this action in its entirety. See generally Order, Doc. No. 216.
- 4. Approximately four months later, the bankruptcy court entered a Confirmation Order stating that Plaintiffs would not be barred from seeking discovery from SandRidge in this action or prosecuting this action against SandRidge for the purpose of recovering from available insurance. See Unopposed Motion to Reopen Administratively Closed Case, Doc No. 217, at 2; see also Exhibit 1, Excerpt from Order Confirming Plan of Reorganization, at ¶¶ 114, 146.
- 5. The Court subsequently reopened the case. Order, Doc. No. 219, at 1.
- 6. Plaintiffs filed their Third Amended Complaint shortly thereafter. The Third Amended Complaint asserts that "SandRidge is a defendant in this action to the extent necessary to recover from available remaining coverage under applicable insurance policies." Third Amended Complaint, Doc. No. 225, at ¶ 28; see also id. at ¶ 2 n.1.
- 7. The insurance policies at issue were wasting policies that having been paying the litigation expenses of SandRidge and several other defendants in this action and the companion action. See Exhibit 2, Declaration of Salah Gamoudi, at 1.
- 8. SandRidge has learned that, as of October 25, 2020, all of the funds available under the policies had been exhausted. Id.

#### **ARGUMENTS AND AUTHORITIES**

# I. Due to the Lack of Remaining Insurance Funds, SandRidge Should Be Dismissed

Plaintiffs' claims against SandRidge can no longer be maintained, as the limited basis on which they could continue no longer exists. The Court overseeing SandRidge's bankruptcy proceedings had, as part of confirming SandRidge's Chapter 11 reorganization plan, held that the confirmation of the plan would not "release any Debtor as a nominal defendant, or enjoin [Plaintiffs] from pursuing [this action] against [SandRidge] as a nominal defendant, in each case solely to the extent necessary to recover on any claims . . . or other Causes of Action against the Debtors from available remaining coverage under any applicable insurance policy, to the extent applicable." See Exhibit 1, Excerpt from Order Confirming Plan of Reorganization, at ¶ 146 (emphasis added). In other words, confirmation of the reorganization plan did prohibit Plaintiffs claims against SandRidge to the extent those claims would be beyond the coverage of any applicable insurance policies. Plaintiffs confirmed their understanding of the bankruptcy court's order in their filing of the Third Amended Complaint. In that pleading, Plaintiffs identified SandRidge as a nominal defendant and affirmatively stated that their recovery was limited to proceeds from any available insurance policies. See, e.g., Third Amended Complaint, Doc. No. 225, at 5 n.1 ("As discussed below, SandRidge is a nominal defendant in this action . . . to the extent necessary to recover from available insurance coverage under any applicable insurance policy providing coverage to SandRidge for the claims asserted herein"); id. at 15 ("Accordingly, SandRidge is a defendant in this action

to the extent necessary to recover from available remaining coverage under applicable insurance policies.").

That condition no longer exists. As shown by the declaration of Salah Gamoudi, SandRidge's Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, the "available remaining coverage under applicable insurance policies" has been exhausted. While SandRidge did have insurance policies that may have provided coverage for any recovery by Plaintiffs, those policies were wasting policies. See Exhibit 1, Declaration of Gamoudi, at 1; see also In re Metro. Mortgage & Sec. Co., Inc., 325 B.R. 851, 853 (Bankr. E.D. Wash. 2005) ("The policies are commonly referred to as 'wasting policies' or 'burning candle policies,' meaning that as the litigation continues, the amount available to a successful plaintiff under the policy is being reduced by the costs of defense of the litigation."). Moreover, the policies covered not only the claims against SandRidge but purportedly also the claims against several other defendants. See Exhibit 1, Declaration of Gamoudi, at 1. SandRidge has recently learned that all of the funds available under the applicable policies have been exhausted as of October 25, 2020. Id. There is no potential for SandRidge's insurer to pay additional amounts based on those policies. Id. Accordingly, there is no longer any remaining insurance proceeds from which Plaintiffs can recover.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Plaintiffs were permitted to maintain their claims against SandRidge solely to seek recovery from any applicable insurance policy, which is in accordance with the bankruptcy court's order approving SandRidge's plan of reorganization. While there

were available proceeds as of the filing of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint, those proceeds were fully exhausted as of October 25, 2020. Because Plaintiffs can no longer recover any insurance proceeds, the Court should dismiss SandRidge as a nominal defendant.

WHEREFORE Defendant SandRidge Energy, Inc. requests the Court dismiss all claims against it with prejudice.

# Respectfully submitted,

### s/ J. Christopher Davis

J. Christopher Davis, OBA No. 16639 Jason L. Callaway, OBA No. 31958 JOHNSON & JONES, P.C. Two Warren Place 6120 S. Yale, Suite 500 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74136 Telephone: (918) 584-6644 Facsimile: (888) 789-0940 cdavis@johnson-jones.com jcallaway@johnson-jones.com

#### and

Herbert Beigel, admitted pro hac vice 5641 N. Chieftain Trail Tucson, Arizona 85739 Telephone: (520) 825-1995 Facsimile: (520) 844-6215 hbeigel@me.com **Counsel for Defendant** 

SandRidge Energy, Inc.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 7, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was filed and served via the CM/ECF e-file system and/or U.S Mail upon the following:

Amy H Bond <u>abond@cov.com</u>

Avital O Malina <u>amalina@rgrdlaw.com</u> C Williams Phillips <u>cphillips@cov.com</u>

Christopher T Gilroy cgilroy@rgrdlaw.com

Christopher Yuk Lun Yeung cyeung@cov.com

Darren B Derryberry <u>dderryberry@derryberrylaw.com</u>

David A Rosenfeld <u>drosenfeld@rgrdlaw.com</u>
David L Johnson <u>david.johnson@lw.com</u>,
Elisa S Solomon <u>esolomon@cov.com</u>

Evan G E Vincent <u>evan.vincent@crowedunlevy.com</u>

Evan J Kaufman <u>ekaufman@rgrdlaw.com</u>

Everett C Johnson , Jr <u>everett.johnson@lw.com</u>

Francis P Karam <u>fkaram@rgrdlaw.com</u>

George S Corbyn , Jr gcorbyn@corbynlaw.com

Herbert Beigel <u>hbeigel@me.com</u>

James C Word
Joe M Hampton
John E Barbush
Jonathan Horne
Jordan S Joachim

christian.word@lw.com
jhampton@hbplawok.com
j.barbush@coxinet.net
jhorne@rosenlegal.com
jjoachim@cov.com

Kiran A Phansalkar <u>kphansalkar@cwlaw.com</u>
Laurence M Rosen <u>lrosen@rosenlegal.com</u>
Margaret A Tough <u>margaret.tough@lw.com</u>

Mario Alba <u>malba@rgrdlaw.com</u>
Mark P Gimbel <u>mgimbel@cov.com</u>
Mark S Reich <u>mreich@rgrdlaw.com</u>

Michael G Capeci <u>mcapeci@rgrdlaw.com</u>

Mitchell D Blackburn <u>mblackburn@cwlaw.com</u>

Nicholas G Farha <u>nick@farhalawfirm.com</u>

Norman G Anderson
Richard W Gonnello
Samuel H Rudman

norman.anderson@lw.com
rgonnello@faruqilaw.com
srudman@rgrdlaw.com

Scott A Graham scott@lawtulsa.com
Stephen P Barry stephen.barry@lw.com
Steven M Bauer steven.bauer@lw.com

Swati R Prakash <u>sprakash@cov.com</u>, <u>swati-prakash-3569@ecf.pacerpro.com</u>

s/J. Christopher Davis